PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PARAMETERS AND PHYTOPLANKTON OF THIRUVAI-ROOR MAHADEVAR TEMPLE POND, CHUNAKKARA, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT, **KERALA**

Jithesh Krishnan, R.

Received: 15/8/2021

Revised: 15/11/2021

Accepted:20/11/2021

Abstract

The study mainly aimed to assess the physico-chemical parameters, phytoplankton community structure and algal flora of the Thiruvairoor Mahadevar Temple Pond at Chunakkara, Kollam. Sampling was carried out between February to March 2021, by regular field visit. Threesampling stations were fixed, ThiruvairoorMahadevar Pond Stations (TMPS1, TMPS2, and TMPS3) based on the intensity of human interactions. The water samples were analyzed for dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, temperature and phytoplankton. All the three stations showed similar water temperatures (35°C)except TMPS1 (34°C). The highest p^H(6.3) and DO was recorded at TMPS2 (7Mg/L), and the lowest DO was at TMPS1 and TMPS 3(6.8Mg/L). The phytoplankton of the pond belongs to five groups, the Chlorophyta, the Cyanophyta, the Bacillariophyta, the Charophyta and the Euglenophyta. Chlorophyta, Charophyta, and Bacillariophytawere the dominant group. The density of phytoplankton per litre of three stations were 3667(TMPS1), 3230 (TMPS2), and 3280 (TMPS3) respectively. Total 44species of phytoplanktonwere identified. Among which 35 were identified up to the species level and the rest up to the genus level only. Synedraulna, Pinnulariaviridis, Cosmariumwere the most common phytoplankton in all stations. Melosira, PediastumduplexPhacustortus were rare species.

Key words: ThiruvairoorMahadevar Temple Pond, Phytoplankton, Lythophyte, Periphyton, Physico-chemical parameters, Nutrient status, anthropogenic influence

Introduction

phytoplankton community structure of one of amounts of eutrophication or algal bloom. the important and large Temple ponds in Central Travancore.Kerala is rich resources of water A major cause of this is general ignorance or bodies lotic as well as lentic, and they are highly over-use like excessive washing or dumping. productive, and are unique ecosystems. Limited Since most of these ponds are used as a bathing/ basic data exists on majority of such water bod- washing point, the overall pollution is from beies. They are biologically rich and physico- ing exposed to chemical compounds from soaps chemically different (Krishnan et al., 2008).

tution and are used for any kind of religious been continuing for so long that almost all pubof a pond, or any water body at that, may im- being affected by algal blooms and are becomhelpful in the long term. Unfortunately, most of past years, numerous non-governmental and -

the available ponds in Kerala are in completely The present study deals with the investigation polluted status or in the threat of being polluted, on the physicochemical condition and associated with most of them suffering from heavy

and detergents. This gradually results in the change in P^{H} of the water to be more alkaline. Ponds are a major constituent in the life of peo- This increased alkalinity adversely affects the ple of Kerala- in both everyday life and religious aquatic flora and phytoplankton wealth of the aspects. Most of the protected public ponds in water body let alone the fauna. This 'passively the State are possibly related to a religious insti- conscious' pollution from the townsfolk has ceremony (Kerala Gazette, 2019). The nearness lic ponds, other than drinking water sources, are prove the water table of the area and will be ing terrible ecosystems for supporting life. In the

Post Graduate and Research Department of Botany, N.S.S. College, Pandalam, Pathanamthitta (affiliated to the University of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram) Kerala email:kjith77@gmail.com

Journal of Advances in Biological Science (2021) : Volume 8, Issue 2

governmental organizations did their part in washing clothes, irrigation etc. The pond has six cleaning, disinfecting, and protecting public main steps to approach, with four of them used ponds to prevent them from beingeutrophicated. frequently by the villagers. This is one of the

Thiruvairoor Mahadevar Temple Pond is a pub- area during summers, and this pond enriches the licly accessible pond owned by Devaswom available water source in the nearby wells. This Board of the Temple. This is one of the oldest water is often used for irrigation of the cultivars temple ponds in Alappuzha District, with a me- in the nearest paddy field. The pond water dium amount of care being given into sanitation shows heavy to medium range of blue-green aland security. The pond is one of the major parts gae bloom throughout the year. in the annual festival conducted in the temple, known as 'AarattuUlsavam' that lasts for 10 For convenient monitoring, systematic field surdays. Itsees maximum usage into 3 to 4 days of vey and regular sampling of waters, three samthe festival, as a ritual called 'urulichavaravu' is pling stations were fixed in the Pond. These conducted where hundreds of devotees' bathe in were fixed according to the differences in dethe pond before their visit to the temple. After grees of human interactions in the Pond. The the festive season, the townsfolk for washing stations mostly use the pondforbathing, hence the it is 'ThiruvairoorMahadevar being subjected to a lot of chemical pollution. tions'(TMPS1, TMPS2, and TMPS3) respec-The Devaswom board in past years tried to miti- tively. Water samples were collected from each gate the external pollution by adding a side station between February to March 2021 (First fence and protection to the main steps, but much and Third week of each month). P^H, air and waless, people bathing in it put thought into control- ter temperaturewere measured on the spot. Sepaling pollution. Even with such heavy pollution, rate water samples were collected for DO. The we can still see a wide variety of phytoplankton samples for analysis were packedin ice containand fish life there, upon which this study is con- ers, and after reaching the laboratory, analysis ducted.

This detailed investigation enabled a compre- (APHA,1995). hensive and systematic analysis of the physicochemical and biological characters of this Temple Pond. This enables to account the basic information on phytoplankton resources in the Pond. Analysis and interpretation of the data on water quality parameters of the Pond helped in baseline data generation and that would help planning and deciding future policies and the better conservation and management.

Methodology

The pond lies in the latitude 9.2026120 N and 76.6018650 E longitude. Dimension wise, the pond is 58.12m broad, 112.33 m long, and about 2.5m deep. It has an area of 6,528.6m2 and at full capacity; it can accommodate about 13,057.23 cubic meters of water. The pond is always open to the public for free use, and people use it for different activities like bathing,

major non-drinking water sources for the whole

designated were as Pond Stawere carried out. Dissolved oxygen was analvzed by winkleriodometric method

Phytoplankton of different category were collected in different pre-sterilized plastic containers. Samples for free-floating phytoplankton were collected from surface waters(2-4cm) in 1Ltr jars and were allowed to concentrate the plankton organisms, before counting. Periphyton were collected from the micro algae attached on the plants along the shores. Submerged plants with attached algae were collected from the twigs and dipped in jars containing 100ml distilled water. Lithophytes were collected using a scalpel. All the collected samples were fixed in Lugols iodine in the ratio 1ml: 10ml, immediately after collection (Santhanam, et al., 1989).

The phytoplankton were enumerated by Lackeys drop method (Schwoerbel, 1972), in the laboratory, using a light microscope having 45x

magnification. Microalgae were identified using (3230/L). The number of species was high at standard keys provided by Palmer (1980), Sinha TMPS2 (26) and low at TMPS3 (19) and at and Naik (1997), and Anand (1998). Photo- TMPS1 it was 24. The phytoplankton of the graphs of the phytoplankton were taken using Pond belonged to three habits like plankton, epidigital camera attached to the microscope.

calculated. Percentage wise distribution of group of algae in all stations. Next to Chlorophytoplankton of different category were also phyta, Charophyta and Bacillariophytawere calculated.

Results and Discussion

The water temperature ranged between 34[°]C to Conclusion 35°C. The lowestwas noticed at TMPS1(34°C). From this preliminary investigation, it is evident The pH of surface water ranged between 6 to that, the water of ThiruvairoorMahadevar Tem-6.3. The highest noticed at TMPS2 (6.3). The ple Pondis enriched with phytoplankton of an dissolved oxygen ranged between 6.4mgL⁻¹to oligotrophic system.Station This indicates the 7mgL⁻¹. The highest recorded at TMPS2 (7mgL⁻ pristine nature of the waters. However, due to ¹). In all the other two stations, it was 6.4mgL⁻¹. the increased human activities, the quality of

among them,35 were identified up to species ing of the unique ecological conditions and milevel and the remaining upto genus level only. crohabitats exiting there. These microhabitats Highest phytoplankton density was observed at are enriched with endemic flora and fauna, not TMPS 1(3667/L)and the lowest at TMPS2 all are well explored.

phytic micro algae (periphyton) and lithophyte Density of phytoplankton in each station was micro algae. Chlorophytawas the dominant dominated. Least number of plankton was from class Cvanophyta and Euglenophyta(Table 1).

water is decreasing. The site needs long term Total 44 taxa of phytoplankton were identified, major investigations to the detailed understand-

Table1. Identified phytoplankton of Thiruvairoor Mahadevar Temple Pond

TMPS1	Scientific name	Class	Habit
1	Ankistrodesmusspiralis	Chlorophyta	Periphyton
2	Closteriopsislongissima	,,	Periphyton
3	Ulothrixaequalis	,,	Plankton, Periphyton
4	Oedogoniumsps.	,,	Periphyton
5	Scenedesmusquadricauda	,,	Plankton, Periphyton
6	Scenedesmusobliquous	,,	Plankton, Periphyton
7	Spirogyra weberi	,,	Periphyton
8	Spirogyra porticalis	,,	Periphyton
9	Pediastrum duplex	"	Plankton, Periphyton
10	Eudorinaelegans	"	Benthic, Plankton
11	Selenastrumminutum	"	Benthic Periphyton
12	Pandorinasps.	"	Preriphyton
13	Cosmariumcontractum	Charophyta	Lithophyte
14	Closteriumacutum	,,	Periphyton

15	Gonatozygonmonotaenium	,,	Plankton
16	Euastrumpectinatum	,,	Plankton
17	Euastrumspinulosum	,,	Plankton
18	Euglena sps.	Euglenophyta	Plankton
19	Euglena viridis	"	Plankton
20	Melosiragranulata	Bacillariophyta	Plankton
21	Pinnulariaviridis	"	Benthic
22	Coccineisplacentulata	"	Plankton, Periphyton
23	Cymbellatumida	,,	Lithophyte, Plankton
24	Oscillatorialimosa	Cyanophyta	Lithophyte, Periphyton
TMPS2			
1	Eudorinaelangas	Chlorophyta	Lithophyte, Plankton
2	Scenedesmusquadricauda	,,	Lithophyte, Plankton
3	Scenedesmusobliquous	"	Lithophyte, Plankton
4	Ankistrodesmusspiralis	"	Lithophyte, Plankton
5	Monoraphidiumgriffithii	"	Plankton, Periphyton
6	Ulothrixaequalis	"	Lithophyte, Plankton, Periphyton
7	Closteriopsislongissima	"	Plankton, Periphyton
8	Oedogoniumsps.	"	Lithophyte, Periphyton
9	Coelastrummicroporum	"	Plankton, Periphyton
10	Gloeocystis major	"	Periphyton
11	Euastrumpectinatum	Charophyta	Plankton
12	Euastrumspinulosum	"	Plankton
13	CosmariumContractum	"	Periphyton
14	Closteriumacerosum	"	Plankton, Periphyton
15	Closteriumpurvulum	"	Plankton, Periphyton
16	Spirogyra porticalis	,,	Lithophyte, Periphyton
17	Phacusaccuminatus	Euglenophyta	Lithophyte, Plankton
18	Euglena acus	"	Lithophyte, Periphyton
19	Pinnulariaviridis	Bacillariophyta	Plankton
20	Coccineissps.	,,	Lithophyte
21	Gomphonemaparvulum	"	Lithophyte, Plankton, Periphyton
22	Cymbellatumida	"	Lithophyte, Plankton
23	Amporaelliptica	"	Lithophyte, Plankton
24	Melosiragranulata	"	Plankton
25	Ocillatoriasps.	Cyanophyta	Plankton
26	Spirulinasps.	"	Plankton

Journal of Advances in Biological Science (2021) : Volume 8, Issue 2

TMI	TMPS3					
1	Scenedesmusobliquous	Chlorophyta	Periphyton			
2	Coelastummicroporum	"	Plankton, Periphyton			
3	Oedogoniumsps	"	Lithophyte, Periphyton			
4	Gloeocystis major	"	Periphyton			
5	Eudorinaelegans	,,	Lithophyte, Plankton			
6	Seleastrumminutum	,,	Lithophyte, Periphyton			
7	Cosmariumcontractum	Charophyta	Plankton			
8	Euastrumpectinatum	"	Lithophyte			
9	Gonatozygonmonotaenium	,,	Plankton			
10	Spyrogyrasps.	"	Plankton			
11	Closteriumacutum	"	Periphyton			
12	Closteriumpurvulum	"	Lithophyte			
13	Staurastrumsps.	"	Periphyton			
14	Euglena viridis	Euglenophyta	Lithophyte			
15	Gomphonemaparvulum	Bacillariophyta	Lithophyte, Plankton, Periphyton			
16	Amphora elliptica	"	Plankton			
17	Synedra ulna	"	Lithophyte, Plankton, Periphyton			
18	Pinnulariaviridis	"	Plankton, Periphyton			
19	Oscillatoriasps	Cyanophyta	Lithophyte, Periphyton			

References

Anand, N. (1998). Indian Fresh water Microalgae. Bishen Singh Mahendrapal Singh, 23-A, New Connaught Place, Dehra Dun, 94pp.

APHA, (1995). Standard methods for the examination of water and waste (19th Ed.), American Public Health association, American water Works Association and water pollution control Federation, Washington, DC.

Jithesh Krishnan, R. (2008). Investigation on Hydrobiology and water quality Parameters of Periyar Lake,Thekkady, Kerala.Ph D Thesis, Mahatma Gandhi University, Kottayam, Kerala, 06pp.

JurgenSchwoerbell (1972). Methods of Hydrobiology, Pergamon Press Ltd., Headington Hill Hall, Oxford, 3-30pp.

Kerala Government Gazatte, (2019).

SanjuSinha, Naik, M. L.(1997). Phytoplankton and microphytes in the ponds of Raipur city area, Published by RavishankarShukla University, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, 17-130pp.

Santhanam, R., Velayutham, V., Jegatheesan, G. (1989). A manual of Freshwater Ecology, Daya Publishing House, Delhi, 10-81pp.